SEURET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIBENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2003 2006

HEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT

Conndian Externel Affairs' inquiry

on the Heine Case

REFERENCE

Ottawa Embassy Telegram 1520.

dated 9 May 1966

1. SD Division and the Diffee of Coueral Counsel have provided the attached answers to the four questions which Canadian External Affairs put to Minister Joseph Soutt. It is recommended that this information be conveyed to the Department of State for transmittal to Ambassador Butterworth, as indicated in the attached letter to the Department.

- 2. It is also recommended that the Department of State be advised that CIA has no objection to the Department's passing to Ambassador Hulterworth for his background information a copy of the memorandum on the "Case of Heine v dens," dated 6 May 1966, which was prepared for the briefing of Congressional Subcommittee members and a copy of which was sent also to Mr. Walter d. Steesel in the Department of State. It is uclieved for preferable to offer the Ambassador whim maper than to prepare still amother surmary of the case which would go to the Department and which could, through a difference of emphasis or word choice, become the genesis for still further questions. Moreover, it is possible that Ambassador Eutterworth may already have been informally made aware of the contents of the memora dum of 6 May.
- 3. Attached is a proposed letter to the Department which may be used in the proporation of a reply to reference telegram.

Chief Burchess Division

Attachments:

1. Amswers to four questions

3. Proposed letter to Department

SFORFT



12 MAY

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Coordination, INE .

Department of State

Attention: Mr. Halvor U. Esern

SUBJECT

: Canadian External Affairs' Inquiry

on the Heine Ceso

REFERENCE

: Ottawa Embassy's LIMDIS Telegram 1520,

dated 9 Nay 1966

In response to your request, there is attached information and supporting documents which the Department of State may wish to use in preparing a reply to the reference telegran.

European Division



SUBJECT of Canadian (m) ernal Affairs' inquiry

on the Meine Case

M.F. disNCi.: Ottowo Eshasay's Lights Tologram 1526,

dated 9 may 1966

i. In regard to the four questions contained in reference telegram which Mr. McCardle raised with dinister boott, this Agency can provide the following information which the Department of State may use in "reparing a reply to the bubbassy:

was his status (i.e., was he in the employ of UIA) at the time?

Answer #1: Juri daus has visited tanado once, on official ousiness for the bureau of Cublic doads, Department of Commerce, to Toronto on June 22-25, 1985. While in Toronto he set privately and separately with two friends of Estonian origin. These meetings were not in connection with CIA business or activities and were not at the request of CIA.

question #2: news lawyers have been in louch with was living or has ineyors regarding the massivility of a sutilement of the case out of court; are saus lawyers who had been in touch [mid for by UIA?]

Answer #2: Asus' lawyers nove not been in touch with Mrs. Reige or her lawyers regarding settlement.

question \$3: has there ever been any contact by CIA representatives either by letter or by interview in consumpt the members of the Estenta emigre group? Although it would not be of concern to Canada they would also like to know whether there has been any such contact in the United States.

Answer v3: Rous has been in becasional correspondence with the two friends (meniioned above) is Toronto during 1965. This correspondence was not at CIA instigation nor was it reported to CIA. There have been so contacts by CIA representatives with Estosian only: groups is Causés.



arngling the parouten winority here in canadar (mortant)

TON THE ADARTIN

2. Regarding the request contained in American Continued in American Continued Continued in American Continued in Coronaction of the Coronaction of State of the Coronaction of State of Coronaction Continued Continued

3. In the event that the Ambarasdor is not aware at the the status of the event the forestment may wish to advise his that the next, and probably timal, court hearing on the delense motion for summary judgment is solutined for 15 may 1966 in the United Status Poderal Histriat Court in Baltimore. Capies of the transcripts of the cranscripts of the central or the Ambassador ings will be provided it the beneficial or the Ambassador feel they would be of value.

4. As the Anhassador is super, this Agency ins men distances of this case with the Consteriatelityence aspects of this case

5. The pepartment may wish to inform ambassanor butterworth for his background information with regard to yucstion with the regard to yucstion with how the bost tast lawyers of at one time discounty question at another with actionalisty of a sattlement with Maine's attorneys (not has Holisty of a sattlement with Machine's) in Machington. Since reports to this greated in the press, for Moderale may have elluot have a pearton the press of such consection and parased his question assed upon a misroading of such reports. With regard to the socond oner of question with the regard to the socond oner of question with of concern to Canada and we teel that this rescence need be used.

.